ACADEMIC PUBLICATION SET

VIEN GUT MODEL

Integrated Outpatient Care for Complex Chronic Multimorbidity

Part B — Operational Model

Vien Gut Model — Academic Publication Set

DOCUMENT B.3
NECESSARY AND SUFFICIENT CONDITIONS FOR FINDING THE WINDOW OF OPPORTUNITY

Integrating the Safety Valve — Polypharmacy Governance — Adherence Capacity — Disease Status — From the Limits of Guidelines to the Remarkable Recovery Capacity of the Human Body

Vien Gut Model — Academic Publication Set
First Systematic Compilation — March 2026
Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam

AUTHORS & ACADEMIC LEADERSHIP

Nguyen Dinh Quang
Independent medical researcher | Founder of Vien Gut | Principal architect of the HOW — DATA-to-operate / operational layer

---

HOW AND DATA-TO-OPERATE DESIGN TEAM — VIEN GUT

Nguyen Dinh Quang Huy HOW — DATA-to-operate co-design | System operations management, transfer coordination — Vien Gut Model
Huynh Phuoc Dai, **Nguyen Son** Patient-facing language editing | Communications data management, deployment and transfer support — Vien Gut Model

---

ACADEMIC SUPPORT & WHAT (GUIDELINE) BENCHMARKING — INTERNATIONAL EXPERT GROUP

Nicola Dalbeth Co-author of ACR 2012 and 2020 Gout Management Recommendations.
Thomas Bardin, **Pascal Richette** Co-authors of EULAR Recommendations — with specialists in cardiology, nephrology, hepatology, diabetology, diagnostic imaging, and biostatistics at Université Paris Cité, France and Sorbonne University. WHAT transfer of gout treatment guidelines and comorbidity guidelines, International benchmarking for WHAT; HOW design support — Vien Gut Model.

DATA GOVERNANCE TEAM — VIEN GUT

Truong Anh Duong, **Huynh Hong Duc** Data governance, transfer support — Vien Gut Model
Le Viet Anh Data governance — Vien Gut

TREATING PHYSICIANS + MULTIDISCIPLINARY CLINICAL TEAM — VIEN GUT POLYCLINIC

Clinical HOW deployment: Risk stratification, window of opportunity, longitudinal follow-up, risk management, polypharmacy governance, safety referral valve activation — Vien Gut Model.

RESEARCH SITE

Franco-Vietnamese Centre for Gout and Chronic Disease Research, Vien Gut Polyclinic, 13A Hong Ha Street, Tan Son Hoa Ward, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam.

1. Problem Statement

The concept of “window of opportunity” in medicine has been most commonly used in emergency and early-treatment contexts: the revascularisation window in acute myocardial infarction, the thrombolysis window in stroke, the early-treatment window in rheumatoid arthritis. In those contexts, the window is defined primarily by biological time — a hard threshold beyond which the opportunity is lost.

The Vien Gut Model extends this concept to a fundamentally different context: patients with complex chronic multimorbidity in integrated outpatient care. Here, the window of opportunity is not a fixed biological time threshold but a longitudinal monitoring state — one that can expand or contract depending on the operating efficiency of the system. The central question therefore shifts from “how long before the window closes?” to: what conditions keep the window open — and what conditions cause it to close prematurely?

This document answers that question within the WHAT–HOW–DATA-to-operate framework of the Vien Gut Model. Necessary conditions are what the system must provide to operate safely. Sufficient conditions are what the patient and family must have to convert necessary conditions into real outcomes. The two cannot substitute for each other — without either, the window of opportunity remains a theoretical concept.

In 18 years of integrated clinical practice at Vien Gut, it is precisely this combination — and only this combination — that has produced outcomes that the fragmented model cannot achieve: dialysis deferral in end-stage CKD, hepatic re-compensation in Child–Pugh B cirrhosis, reduced recurrent heart-failure decompensation, and crystal-free status in severely complicated gout. These are not miracles — they are explicable outcomes when necessary and sufficient conditions are simultaneously satisfied.

2. Definition and Substance of “Window of Opportunity” in the Vien Gut Model

In the Vien Gut Model, the “window of opportunity” is a longitudinal monitoring state in which a patient with complex chronic multimorbidity still retains sufficient survival reserves and sufficient risk-control conditions to deploy integrated outpatient care aimed at achieving the model’s predefined outcome anchor points. [8]

This is an operational concept, not a purely biological one. Its substance comprises four layers:

Substantive Layer	Description
1. Outpatient safety conditions	The patient is not in an emergency/critical decompensation state and shows no signs of breaching the safety threshold before the system can respond.
2. HOW deployability	Core functions — risk stratification, multi-guideline coordination, polypharmacy control, phase-based monitoring, multi-role coordination — can still operate effectively.
3. DATA-to-operate sufficient for decision-making	Longitudinal monitoring data to discern trends; action thresholds; time-bound SLAs; decision log/audit trail for traceability. Without this layer, the “window” is missed because the system fails to recognise the break point.
4. Outcome anchor points	The window must be linked to verifiable targets: crystal-free, dialysis deferral, cardiovascular decompensation reduction, cirrhosis re-compensation — not mere symptom management.

The window is “closing” when a rapidly worsening trend appears, symptom control is lost, signs of organ decompensation emerge, or the system can no longer guarantee timely response. When the window closes, the safety referral valve must be activated — not as a failure of outpatient care but as the core protective mechanism that allows integrated outpatient care to dare retain patients who still have an opportunity. [8]

3. Three Patient Zones and the Nature of the Window of Opportunity

The Vien Gut Model classifies patients into three zones based on the degree of guideline coverage and clinical complexity. Each zone has a different window-of-opportunity nature — and therefore requires different levels of necessary–sufficient conditions.

Zone	Stratification	Window Nature	General Necessary–Sufficient Conditions
Within guideline coverage	**Green — stable** *(disease profile matches guideline population)*	Window relatively wide and stable. Basic HOW + DATA-to-operate sufficient to pursue guideline WHAT.	Necessary: basic HOW + DATA-to-operate. Sufficient: knowledge-based and self-disciplined treatment adherence.
Borderline (transitional)	**Yellow — complex** *(some characteristics outside guideline population)*	Window narrower, volatile. HOW must be stronger; DATA-to-operate must be tighter to avoid missing break points.	Necessary: enhanced HOW + DATA-to-operate with polypharmacy governance. Sufficient: adherence + readiness for frequent follow-up.
Beyond guideline coverage	**Red — high risk** *(outside guideline population — severe multimorbidity, multi-organ failure, risk of early decompensation)*	Window very narrow, thin safety margin, may close at any time. Without integrated HOW + safety valve → safe outpatient care impossible.	Necessary: full HOW + integrated polypharmacy governance + bidirectional referral valve. Sufficient: patient + family meet all four special conditions (§5.2).

These are not three fixed groups. A patient can shift between zones — from red to yellow when Phase 1 (Acute Stabilisation) is controlled, or from green to red upon an acute event. It is precisely this fluidity that demands DATA-to-operate strong enough to detect and reclassify in time.

4. Necessary Conditions: HOW and DATA-to-operate of the Vien Gut Model

Necessary conditions are what the care system must provide — independent of the patient's will or effort. Without necessary conditions, even the most adherent patient cannot reach targets, because the system lacks the capacity to identify the window, hold the window and act in time when the window is closing.

4.1 Within-Coverage and Borderline Zones

For patients in these two zones, necessary conditions comprise four components:

1. Core HOW — Clinical Conductor holding the longitudinal axis; T1–T4 risk stratification; phase-based follow-up rhythm; MDT operating as a sensor–response chain. This is the operational organisation layer so that guideline WHAT is not merely known but done — right person, right time, right safety level.
2. Baseline DATA-to-operate — a dataset sufficient to discern time-series trends, identify break points, prompt action thresholds and make traceable decisions. A single cross-sectional snapshot is insufficient for window-of-opportunity governance — it must be a time series.
3. Basic polypharmacy governance — interaction review, treatment-burden assessment and cumulative-risk evaluation per disease axis. Especially critical in the borderline zone where multiple guidelines apply simultaneously and conflict risk is high.

4. Safety valve on standby — not merely "aware" of the safety valve but having a clear activation pathway and a pre-prepared post-inpatient reintegration protocol.

When all four components are in place, HOW and DATA-to-operate become the necessary conditions for patients to achieve treatment targets per guideline WHAT — something the fragmented model cannot guarantee even with the most adherent patient. [1],[2]

4.2 Beyond-Guideline-Coverage Zone

For beyond-coverage patients, necessary conditions must be augmented with two additional mandatory components:

5. Deep integrated polypharmacy governance — not merely reviewing interactions but establishing decision scripts: when a drug beneficial for one axis is dangerous for another, who decides, under which principle, within what timeframe. This is the guideline-conflict resolution layer that no single-disease guideline provides. [9],[10]
6. Bidirectional reintegration referral valve — the valve opens not only one way (transfer out) but must have a post-inpatient reintegration protocol: who hands over data, who receives, what is the post-inpatient follow-up rhythm, what conditions must be met for the patient to return to stable outpatient status. Without the reverse direction, integrated outpatient care is disrupted after every hospitalisation.

Why are polypharmacy governance and a bidirectional valve necessary conditions in the beyond-coverage zone?

Beyond-coverage patients are those whom most RCTs have excluded from their study populations [9],[10]. Guidelines have no integrated recommendations for them. The Vien Gut Model's HOW + DATA-to-operate must fill this gap — through structured polypharmacy governance and a bidirectional safety valve. These are the minimum conditions for integrated outpatient care to dare to accept and retain these patients, rather than refusing them because "there is no guideline."

5. Sufficient Conditions: The Patient and Family

Sufficient conditions are what the patient and family must have to convert the system's necessary conditions into real outcomes. Sufficient conditions are not "imposed requirements" but "capacities to be built" — and an important part of HOW is helping the patient acquire these capacities progressively.

5.1 Within-Coverage and Borderline Zones

For patients in these two zones, sufficient conditions from the patient's side comprise two foundations:

7. Operationally adequate treatment knowledge — not advanced medical knowledge but understanding sufficient to act correctly: knowing why medication must be taken consistently, knowing which warning signs require immediate notification, knowing one's follow-up rhythm for each treatment phase. This is operational knowledge, not theoretical knowledge.
8. Self-discipline and informed adherence — not passive compliance but adherence grounded in understanding: the patient grasps the value of each treatment decision, each test, each follow-up visit. Self-discipline is the factor that multiplies the value of HOW — with the same good system, a self-disciplined patient reaches targets faster and sustains results more durably.

In this context, treatment adherence through knowledge and self-discipline is the general sufficient condition — sufficient for the system to operate as designed and for the patient to achieve guideline WHAT targets when necessary conditions have been satisfied.

5.2 Beyond-Guideline-Coverage Zone — Highest-Risk Cases

For red-zone patients — particularly the following three clinical scenarios — sufficient conditions must be significantly higher and more specific:

Clinical Example 1 — End-stage chronic kidney disease (CKD G5) with dialysis indication

Patient with eGFR < 15 ml/min/1.73m², RRT indicated per KDIGO 2024, but currently in an acute-on-chronic kidney disease episode with non-critical electrolyte imbalance, blood pressure still controllable, no acute cardiac complication or progressing infection. The outpatient window of opportunity is narrow but not yet closed — provided the system is strong enough for continuous monitoring and can activate the safety valve at any moment the safety margin is threatened.

Clinical Example 2 — Decompensated cirrhosis Child–Pugh B

Patient with Child–Pugh score 8–9, history of ascites or gastrointestinal bleeding, currently stabilised after a treatment episode but hepatic function remains below the marginal threshold. The re-compensation window remains but is very easily closed by any additional trigger (infection, high-risk medication, loss of adherence). HOW must have pre-established scripts for each trigger; the safety valve must be on standby.

Clinical Example 3 — Chronic heart failure with risk of early decompensation

Patient with reduced EF heart failure, NYHA II–III, on baseline therapy but showing early warning signs: rapid weight gain, progressive peripheral oedema, unstable blood pressure. Not yet at hospitalisation threshold but the safety margin is narrowing. This is the stage where intensive outpatient intervention is possible — but only if HOW has a ready contingency script and the patient/family clearly understand the threshold for immediate notification.

For these three patient groups, sufficient conditions comprise four simultaneously mandatory elements:

	Condition	Operational Description
①	Equipped with genuine knowledge	Patient and family understand the real risk of the current status, understand why the safety margin is narrow, understand which signs indicate the window is closing. This knowledge is not for “reassurance” but for correct action when needed.
②	Genuine desire to seize the window	Not a token desire. Patient and family must truly accept the burden of Phase 1 (Acute Stabilisation): frequent follow-up, frequent testing, a continuously changing treatment plan. This desire must be explicitly confirmed before the model accepts the patient into integrated outpatient care.
③	Capacity to execute Phase 1 (Acute Stabilisation)	Ability to attend follow-up at a dense rhythm (potentially every few days for the most severe cases). A family member available for home monitoring and support. Ability to contact the team urgently when warning signals appear. No material or geographical conditions that would disrupt continuous monitoring.
④	Acceptance of the safety valve at any time	Patient and family understand and accept that the safety referral valve may be activated at any moment the safety margin is no longer guaranteed — not as failure but as the model’s core protective mechanism. This acceptance must be explicitly committed before treatment begins.

If any one of these four conditions is missing, continuing integrated outpatient care in the red zone represents an unacceptable risk — not because the model is insufficiently strong, but because the sufficient conditions have not been met. This is the boundary that the Vien Gut Model must hold firm to protect both the patient and the model’s integrity.

6. Integrating Four Components: Safety Valve — Polypharmacy — Adherence — Disease Status

The four components of necessary and sufficient conditions do not operate independently. The strength of the Vien Gut Model lies in their integration and synchronisation mechanism:

Component	Role in the Integrated System
Bidirectional safety referral valve	Enables the system to dare accept severe patients — knowing that when the window closes, there is a clear pathway to transfer and receive back. The valve is not an “exit gate” but a “mechanism for preserving gains” throughout the treatment journey.
Integrated polypharmacy governance	Resolves guideline conflicts that no single guideline can resolve alone. Protects beyond-coverage patients from the cumulative harm of polypharmacy in the context of multi-organ failure.
Patient adherence capacity	Multiplies the value of HOW. Determines the speed of target achievement — given the same window of opportunity, an adherent patient reaches targets faster and sustains results more durably.
Disease status and coverage zone	Determines the level of necessary conditions to apply and the level of sufficient conditions to build. Disease status changes over time → necessary–sufficient conditions must be reassessed periodically at each treatment phase.

Core Integration Principle

Necessary and sufficient conditions cannot substitute for each other. The strongest HOW cannot compensate for a non-adherent patient. The best adherence cannot compensate for a system without a safety valve. The best polypharmacy governance cannot compensate for DATA-to-operate lacking time series. All four components must be simultaneously present — and synchronised with the patient’s current treatment phase.

7. The Window of Opportunity as a Clinical Decision Pivot

In the Vien Gut Model, the “window of opportunity” is not merely a descriptive concept — it is the decision pivot of the entire operational layer. Each longitudinal monitoring assessment leads to one of three decisions:

Window Status	Operational Decision
Still open — stable	Continue integrated outpatient care per phase. Maintain follow-up rhythm. Continue advancing towards verification targets.
Narrowing — alert	Escalate intervention tier: shorten follow-up interval, intensify DATA-to-operate, activate phase-based contingency scripts, reassess necessary–sufficient conditions.
Closed — safety margin breached	Activate safety referral valve immediately. Do not continue processing as routine outpatient. Prepare handover dataset and post-inpatient reintegration pathway.

This decision must be based on time-series trends — not on an isolated cross-section. And it must be recorded in the decision log/audit trail for traceability, learning and system improvement in the spirit of clinical governance. [3],[8]

8. Contribution of the Vien Gut Model: Removing the Limits of Guidelines in Complex Multimorbidity

International guidelines were built to ensure safety within the fragmented-care model — where there is no integrated coordinator, no structured longitudinal follow-up, no bidirectional safety valve. In that context, guidelines must anchor conservatively: excluding severely multimorbid patients from study populations, setting cautious safety thresholds, and issuing no recommendations where RCT evidence is absent. [9],[10],[11]

This is not a flaw of guidelines. It is the rational limit of guidelines within a fragmented system.

The Vien Gut Model does not reject guidelines. It creates the conditions for guidelines to reach beyond that limit — by providing exactly what guidelines cannot assume: structured HOW, operationally sufficient DATA-to-operate, a bidirectional safety valve and sufficient conditions from the patient's side.

SCIENTIFIC DECLARATION

When the system is strong enough to identify the window of opportunity, hold the window throughout the treatment course and act in time when the window is closing — medicine can advance beyond what current guidelines permit.

Not because guidelines are wrong. But because guidelines were written for a fragmented system — and the Vien Gut Model provides a different system.

Observations from 18 years of integrated practice at Vien Gut show: when necessary and sufficient conditions are simultaneously satisfied, the human body has a recovery capacity that far exceeds the expectations of the cross-sectional treatment model. End-stage CKD can defer dialysis for months or even years. Decompensated cirrhosis can re-compensate and remain stable long-term. Chronic heart failure can reduce rehospitalisation and meaningfully improve quality of life. Severely complicated gout can achieve crystal-free status and return the patient to near-healthy life.

This is the greatest contribution of the Vien Gut Model: not a new drug, not a new technique — but a new operating architecture that enables medicine to harness the body's inherent recovery capacity — a capacity that does not manifest in the fragmented model because the system is not strong enough to identify and hold the window.

This reasoning requires multi-centre verification. But 18 years of structured clinical observation provide a sufficiently strong basis to formulate the hypothesis and open the next line of research. [8]

9. Comparison with the Fragmented Care Model

The table below compares the ability to find and hold the window of opportunity between the fragmented model and the Vien Gut Model across five core clinical criteria:

Criterion	Fragmented Model	Vien Gut Model
Identifying the window	Based on individual specialist clinical impression; no integrated time series; break points easily missed. [13],[15]	Integrated longitudinal DATA-to-operate; explicit action thresholds; time-bound response SLAs.
Holding the window	No integrated coordination mechanism; guideline conflicts unresolved; no structured polypharmacy governance. [9], [10]	Core HOW + integrated polypharmacy governance + Clinical Conductor holding the longitudinal axis across all four phases.
Sufficient conditions from the patient	Not systematically built; contradictory information from multiple specialists reduces adherence. [13],[17]	Operational knowledge + self-discipline built progressively by phase; standardised language for patient and family.
Safety valve	Activated late; no post-inpatient reintegration protocol; fragmentation upon readmission increases mortality. [16]	Always on standby; clear activation pathway; bidirectional post-inpatient reintegration protocol.
Beyond-coverage patients	Not accepted or treated per single disease; unable to maintain safe outpatient care. [9],[11]	Accepted into integrated outpatient care when necessary–sufficient conditions are met; window opened via HOW + bidirectional valve + special sufficient

		conditions.

10. Evidence-Level and Inference-Level Declaration

The concept of “necessary and sufficient conditions for finding the window of opportunity” is an operational concept named by the Vien Gut Model from 18 years of structured practice. It is not a product of theoretical design or single-disease guideline reasoning. [8]

The academic basis of the operationalisation — action thresholds, SLAs, audit trails, integrated polypharmacy governance, bidirectional safety valves — draws on implementation science and safety–quality governance, frameworks widely accepted in health-system implementation analysis. [2],[3]

Inference requiring multi-centre verification: when necessary and sufficient conditions are simultaneously satisfied per the Vien Gut Model’s architecture, the system can significantly reduce the rate of missed break points, reduce fragmentation-driven adverse events and expand the scope of patients benefiting from integrated outpatient care — including those for whom current guidelines have no clear recommendation. [2],[4],[8]

11. Scope Limitations

Scope Limitations — This document does NOT include:

✗ Document B.3 presents necessary and sufficient conditions at the level of principles and operational framework. Detailed operationalisation of each component — specific action thresholds, SLAs, minimum datasets per disease axis — is developed in supplementary documents and technical appendices.

✗ The document does not prescribe specific drug dosages or absolute test thresholds; all WHAT decisions must be based on the latest guidelines of each specialty.

✗ The three clinical examples in §5.2 are principle illustrations, not treatment protocols. Specific clinical decisions belong to the Clinical Conductor’s judgement based on individual context.

✗ Sufficient conditions from the patient’s side are presented at the framework level — detailed deployment and patient execution-capacity assessment tools belong to document B.4.

12. Position within the Vien Gut Document System

Document B.3 is the decision axis of Part B. The necessary–sufficient conditions architecture built here is the framework for the Clinical Conductor to decide: window open — operate; narrowing — escalate; closed — safety valve. The document links the HOW operational layer (B.1–B.2) with the patient-capacity layer (B.4) and the target-organ evidence layer (Part C).

Document	Title & Key Content	Link to B.3
B.1	The First Consultation — activation point of the integrated four-axis operational system	Provides initial T1–T4 risk stratification and priority disease-axis identification — baseline data for B.3 to determine patient zone and applicable necessary-condition level
B.2	Phase-based treatment and longitudinal follow-up — simultaneous T2T across four axes	B.3 determines the conditions for the window to remain open; B.2 determines how to operate within that window through each phase
B.3	**Necessary and sufficient conditions for finding the window of opportunity in complex chronic**	Decision axis: window open → operate per B.2; narrowing → escalate; closed →

	multimorbidity (this document)	safety valve
B.4	The patient's role — operational framework from the patient and family perspective	Deploys in detail the patient-side sufficient conditions that B.3 defines at the principle level
B.5	Enabling conditions and prioritisation principles when multiple diseases co-exist	Provides prioritisation principles for intervention decisions in each phase when multiple conditions co-act
B.6	Clinical case series — the model's ultimate limits: individualised treatment in the beyond-guideline zone	Cases in B.6 demonstrate the practical limits of necessary–sufficient conditions when patients are in the most dangerous zone
Part A	Foundations: why this model exists + essential concepts (A.0–A.5)	Provides the conceptual foundation for the window of opportunity, WHAT–HOW–DATA and the three guideline-coverage zones
Part C	Four verification targets on target organs — the centre of the entire publication set (C.1–C.4)	The targets in Part C are what B.3's necessary–sufficient conditions aim for; the window of opportunity is the timeframe to achieve them

13. Conclusion

The window of opportunity in complex chronic multimorbidity management does not close at a fixed biological time threshold. It expands or contracts depending on the system's operating efficiency and the patient's participation capacity. This is the most important insight: the window can be held — and must be held — through simultaneously satisfying necessary and sufficient conditions.

Necessary conditions are the HOW and DATA-to-operate of the Vien Gut Model — with integration intensity increasing from the green zone to the red zone. Sufficient conditions are the patient and family being equipped with knowledge, having genuine desire, having the capacity to execute the plan and accepting the safety valve when needed.

When both conditions are simultaneously satisfied, the Vien Gut Model can enter zones that guidelines have not yet reached — not by bypassing guidelines but by providing exactly what guidelines cannot yet assume. And in those zones, the human body's inherent recovery capacity has the chance to manifest — when the system is strong enough to recognise and hold the window.

This is what 18 years of integrated clinical practice at Vien Gut Polyclinic have demonstrated: end-stage CKD patients maintaining safe outpatient status beyond the dialysis-indication threshold; decompensated cirrhosis patients sustaining long-term re-compensation; chronic heart failure patients reducing hospitalisation and recovering quality of life; severely complicated gout patients achieving crystal-free status and returning to near-healthy life. These are not exceptions. These are predictable outcomes when necessary and sufficient conditions are simultaneously satisfied. [8]

PRACTICE PROVENANCE

The necessary and sufficient conditions for finding the window of opportunity are the result of structured observation from 18 years of integrated clinical practice at Vien Gut (2007–2025). They did not originate from theoretical design but from systematically identifying what makes integrated outpatient care operationally safe — and what makes it fail.

2007 Vien Gut founded; systematic observation of outpatient safety conditions in chronic multimorbidity begins.

2014 Contact with Prof. Thomas Bardin (EULAR); global WHAT–HOW gap identified.

2017–2019 Three condition groups (system safety / adherence / patient capacity) systematised from accumulated longitudinal data.

2025 Necessary–sufficient conditions architecture finalised and linked to the safety valve, polypharmacy governance and DATA-to-operate.

Evidence basis: 18 years of integrated clinical practice at Vien Gut — Nguyen Dinh Quang (2007–2025).

References

International Guidelines — WHAT (treatment targets and clinical principles)

[1] NICE. Multimorbidity: clinical assessment and management (NG56). National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, 2016.

[2] Eccles MP, Mittman BS. Welcome to Implementation Science. Implement Sci 2006;1(1):1.

[3] Braithwaite J, et al. Complexity science in healthcare — aspirations, approaches, applications and accomplishments. Boca Raton: CRC Press, 2017.

[4] KDIGO. 2024 Clinical Practice Guideline for the Evaluation and Management of Chronic Kidney Disease. Kidney Int 2024; Supplement.

[5] FitzGerald JD, et al. 2020 American College of Rheumatology Guideline for the Management of Gout. Arthritis Care Res 2020;72(6):744–760.

[6] McDonagh TA, et al. 2021 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure. Eur Heart J 2021;42(36):3599–3726.

[7] EASL. EASL Clinical Practice Guidelines for the management of patients with decompensated cirrhosis. J Hepatol 2018;69(2):406–460.

Vien Gut Practice Basis

[8] Nguyen Dinh Quang. Document 0.5 — Naming and defining the “window of opportunity” in the Vien Gut Model. Academic Publication Set, 2026.

Evidence on Fragmented Care Limitations

[9] Hughes LD, et al. Guidelines for people not for diseases: the challenges of applying UK clinical guidelines to people with multimorbidity. Age Ageing 2013;42(1):62–69.

[10] Muth C, et al. Evidence supporting the best clinical management of patients with multimorbidity and polypharmacy: a systematic guideline review. J Intern Med 2019;285(3):272–288.

[11] Onder G, et al.; Joint Action CHRODIS. Multimorbidity management in older patients: a comprehensive approach. Health Policy 2015;119(12):1513–1520.

[12] Jiang S, et al. Association between care fragmentation and outcomes in patients with chronic diseases: a systematic review. J Nurs Manag 2023;2023:3707960.

[13] Schiøtz ML, et al. Involving patients with multimorbidity in service planning: perspectives on continuity and care coordination. BMC Health Serv Res 2017;17(1):570.

[14] Prior A, et al. Healthcare fragmentation, multimorbidity, potentially inappropriate medication, and mortality: a Danish nationwide cohort study. BMC Med 2023;21(1):305.

[15] Johansen KJ, et al. General practitioner strategies for managing patients with multimorbidity: a systematic review. BMC Fam Pract 2020;21(1):131.

[16] Terman SW, et al. Patient Outcomes Following Interhospital Care Fragmentation: A Systematic Review. J Gen Intern Med 2020;35(4):1220–1227.

[17] Liddy C, et al. Challenges of self-management when living with multiple chronic conditions. Can Fam Physician 2014;60(12):1123–1133.